

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,009	03/02/2004	Richard P. Szajewski	81040ADAN	1294
7590 05/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Mark G. Bocchetti			GIBBS, HEATHER D	
Patent Legal Sta	aff			
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2622	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201				_

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/791,009	SZAJEWSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Heather D. Gibbs	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely precived by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	March 2004.				
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or b)□ objected arawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/02/04</u> .	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N 8) 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,009 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application appears to be a division of Application No. 09/592,816, filed 06/13/2000. A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth the portion of the earlier disclosure that is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Szajewski et al (US 6,222,607).

The applied reference has a common applicant with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claim 1, which is representative of claim 4, Szajewski discloses an imaging system comprising: a reader 9 for reading an identification associate with a photographic film said identification identifying said photographic film as compatible with a dry processing; and a developing station 7 for developing a dry process photographic film to produce images exposed on the film, wherein compatible film identified by said reader is developed at said developing station (Col 4 Lines 43-59).

Considering claim 2, Szajewski teaches wherein said reader is an automated reader (Col 4 Lines 60- Col 5 Line 8).

For claim 3, Szajewski teaches wherein said reader is a user operated interface requiring a positive user action to enable acceptance of a presented film as compatible (Figs 1-2; Col 5 Lines 9-29).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-6PM.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heather D Gibbs

Examiner

Art Unit 2622

hdg

PRIMARY EXAMINES